SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT

Name of Cyanide Production Facility

:

Chemicals Business - Mining Reagents

Business

Name of Facility Owner

Sasol Polymers a division of Sasol

Chemical Industries Limited

Name of Facility Operator

EHJ Fourie

Name of Responsible Manager

JB Armstrong

Address

Midland Site, Bergius Street,

Sasolburg, 1947

State/Province

Free State

Country

South Africa

Telephone

+27 16 920 3309

Fax

: +27 11 522 3649

E-Mail

koos.fourie@sasol.com

Location detail and description of operation:

Sasol Polymers is a division within Sasol Chemicals Industries Ltd and consists of number of Business Units of which the Chemicals Business is one. The Mining Reagents Business is a department within the Chemicals Business.

The Mining Reagents Business is a production facility consisting of two operating plants, namely Cyanide 1 & Cyanide 2, located in the North West corner of the Sasol Polymers Midland Site. The facility specializes in the manufacture of liquid sodium cyanide solution for use in the South African gold mining industry. The production of the final product is accomplished by converting ammonia and natural gas to hydrogen cyanide gas in Shawiningan Reactors then absorbing it in caustic soda to form sodium cyanide. The main raw materials, ammonia, natural gas and caustic soda, are sourced from within Sasol business units.

Sasol Infrachem is a division within Sasol Chemicals Industries and is responsible for the provision of plant utilities (instrument air, process water, etc) and specialised services to the various business units on the Midlands site. The Mining Reagents Business has services level agreements with various sections of Sasol Infrachem for the provision of the following services:

- Emergency Services (security, fire station, HAZCHEM, medical centre etc.)
- Environmental Services
- Water and Waste
- SIlog (Sasol Transportation)
- AIA Inspection Services
- Occupational Health and Safety

Signature Lead Auditor Page 1 of 7

9th February 2007

Cyanide Plants 1 & 2

Auditor's Finding

CC14 *	. •	
This	operation	1S
	1	

☐ in full compliance

X in substantial compliance *(see below)

□ not in compliance

with the International Cyanide Management Code.

* For cyanide production operations seeking Code certification, the Corrective Action Plan to bring an operation in substantial compliance into full compliance must be enclosed with this Summary Audit Report. The plan must be fully implemented within one year of the date of this audit.

Audit Company: Eagle Environmental

Audit Team Leader: Arend Hoogervorst E-mail: arend@eagleenv.co.za

Names and Signatures of Other Auditors;

Peter Lotz

Cathy Reichardt

Jurie Vorster

Lynton Brown

Name of Auditor

16.02.2007

16.02 2007

15/02/07

18/02/07.

Signature of Auditor

Date

Date(s) of Audit: 20 – 24th November 2006

I attest that I meet the criteria for knowledge, experience and conflict of interest for Code Verification Audit Team Leader, established by the International Cyanide Management Institute and that all members of the audit team meet the applicable criteria established by the International Cyanide Management Institute for Code Verification Auditors.

I attest that this Summary Audit Report accurately describes the findings of the verification audit. I further attest that the verification audit was conducted in a professional manner in accordance with the International Cyanide Management Code Verification Protocol for Cyanide Production Operations and using standard and accepted practices for health, safety and environmental audits.

Cyanide Plants 1 & 2

Name of Facility

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY

Signature of Lead Auditor

19/2/07

Cyanide Plants 1 & 2

Signature Lead Auditor

9th February 2007

Page 2 of 7

JUDD ROBERT REID
COMMISSIONER OF OATHS
PRACTISING ATTORNEY, RSA
28 OLD MAIN ROAD, HILLCREST
KWAZULU NATAL

1. OPERATIONS: Design, construct and operate cyanide production facilities to prevent release of cyanide.

Production Practice 1.1: Design and construct cyanide production facilities consistent with sound, accepted engineering practices and quality control/quality assurance procedures.

X in full compliance with

The operation is \Box in substantial c

☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with

Production Practice 1.1

Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified:

Limited information was available for quality control and quality assurance programmes used during the construction of the plants. However, a number of inspections by competent persons and civil and mechanical engineers, using risk-based methods and maintenance analysis found the structure, foundations, piping and control systems, "fit for purpose." Available plans and specifications show a recognition of cyanide usage and include sound containment strategies and materials compatible for use in cyanide production.

Production Practice 1.2: Develop and implement plans and procedures to operate cyanide production facilities in a manner that prevents accidental releases.

X in full compliance with

The operation is \Box in substantial compliance with

Production Practice 1.2

□ not in compliance with

Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified:

The facility has a well established set of procedures, work instructions, and plans augmented by effective checklists, which are used by a seasoned and experienced workforce. The plans and procedures make provision for normal and abnormal conditions and two change management mechanisms ensure that operational changes and appropriately managed and accommodated.

Production Practice 1.3: Inspect cyanide production facilities to ensure their integrity and prevent accidental releases.

X in full compliance with

The operation is \Box in substantial compliance with

☐ in substantial compliance with Production Practice 1.3

□ not in compliance with

Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified:

The facility uses the SAP (computerized) system to control inspection, monitoring, and maintenance of production facilities. Inspections are triggered both by statutory requirements and a combination of equipment supplier recommendations and a history of observations which have prompted modification and improvement. Inspections are linked to a jobcard system to ensure effective response and follow-up of actions.

Signature Lead Auditor

9th February 2007

Cyanide Plants 1 & 2

Page 3 of 7

2. WORKER SAFETY: Protect workers' health and safety from exposure to cyanide.

Production Practice 2.	.1: Develop and implement procedures exposure to cyanide.	s to protect plant personnel from		
The operation is	X in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 2.1		
Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: Routine activities are covered by effective procedures and work instructions which link to the facility's baseline risk assessment and issue-based risk assessments. Non-routine activities such as vessel entry are covered by issue-based risk assessments and a formalized permit to work system. Clear PPE requirements are indicated for routine and non-routine activities and these are reviewed regularly by management and workforce.				
Production Practice 2.	2: Develop and implement plans and presponse to cyanide exposure.	procedures for rapid and effective		
The operation is	X in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 2.2		
Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: The Facility has its own Plant Emergency Action Plan (PEAP) with associated cyanide response procedures and work instructions. Emergency response, first aid, evacuation and hospitalisation plans are in place and are exercised regularly. A Medical Centre adjoins the facility and ambulance/fire responses are minutes away in the main chemical complex, of which the facility is a part.				
3. MONITORING: En	sure that process controls are protectiv	e of the environment.		
Production Practice	3.1: Conduct environmental monitori unplanned releases of cyanide do			
The operation is	 □ in full compliance with X in substantial compliance with □ not in compliance with 	Production Practice 3.1		
Whilst environmental r management which re collection and its use. reporting cycles are n Corrective Action Plan		formalized structure to the data taken place but iterations of data ised systems and protocols. (See		
Cyanide Plants 1 & 2	Signature Lead Auditor Page 4 of 7	9 th February 2007		

4. TRAINING: Train workers and emergency response personnel to manage evanide in a safe

and environmentally p		ersonnet to munuge cyuntue in a suje
Production Practice 4.	1: Train employees to operate the potential for cyanide expos	plant in a manner that minimizes the ures and releases.
The operation is	X in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 4.1
The facility has a sou checks and mentoring. through staff interviews in chemical production	s and found to be good. A formally	
Production Practice 4.2	2: Train employees to respond to cyc	anide exposures and releases.
The operation is	X in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with ☐ not subject to	Production Practice 4.2
All employees are train confirm training and re understanding of procedure	fresher training was undertaken. Int dures and responses. Drills are cond drills and evaluating response et	d: res on site and records were checked to terviews with workers confirmed good ducted regularly and the trainer is fully ffectiveness. Training and re-training
5. EMERGENCY RE		and the environment through the emergency response strategies and
Production Practice 5	.1: Prepare detailed emergency i releases.	response plans for potential cyanide
The operation is	X in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 5.1

Cyanide Plants 1 & 2

Signature Lead Auditor

9th February 2007

Page 5 of 7

Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified:

The emergency response plan was reviewed and found to be appropriately detailed. Response appropriately related to the facility's baseline risk assessment and Major Hazard Installation Risk Assessment. Roles and responsibilities were adequately documented and scenarios identified.

D. J. C. D. C. S.	2. 1	and a land
Ргоаиспоп Ргаспсе 3.2	2: Involve site personnel and stakeholde	rs in the planning process.
The operation is	☐ in full compliance withX in substantial compliance with☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 5.2
Facility personnel are a the Health and Safe involvement is via the prominent community	r this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: adequately involved in the emergency of the Committee, mock drills and poste Complex's liaison structures. However representatives suggested a possible posterior of transport. (See Corrective Action Plan)	st mortems thereof. Community ver, sample interviews with three oor understanding of the facility's
Production Practice 5.	3: Designate appropriate personnel and resources for emergency response	
The operation is	X in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 5.3
Primarily responders at call outs and contact checked and noted to a	r this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: and their back ups are clearly identified in details are readily available. Emerge reflect actual availability. Outside respondent to be readily available and a random	ency equipment inventories were onders (i.e. the Complex's medical
Production Practice 5.	4: Develop procedures for internal an and reporting.	nd external emergency notification
The operation is	X in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 5.4
The Complex's weekl	r this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: y updated, detailed Hazmat emergency ility and complex procedures indicate w	
Cyanide Plants 1 & 2	Signature Lead Auditor Page 6 of 7	9 th February 2007

Production Practice	5.5: Incorporate into response plans and elements that account for the act treatment chemicals.	d remediation measures monitoring dditional hazards of using cyanide
The operation is	X in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 5.5
Specific procedures	for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: have been developed to deal with remediatemergency response mechanisms.	iation and these are integrated with
Production Practice	5.6: Periodically evaluate response proce them as needed.	edures and capabilities and revise
The operation is	X in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 5.6
Mock drills are cond performance and che formal review annua	for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: ducted quarterly and are all followed by eck for areas of improvement. In additionally in terms of document control and ISC ation was reviewed and recommendation scue chairs).	on the response procedure requires D systems requirements. Mock drill