Cyanide Code Production Verification Audit

SUMMARY AUDIT REPORT, Rev. 2

DuPont Sodium Cyanide Processing & Packaging Operations

Audit Dates: March 20-28, 2006

Auditor: Nicole Jurczyk Management System Solutions, Inc.

www.mss-team.com



Name of Cyanide Production

Operation: DuPont Sodium Cyanide Operations consisting of:

- (1) Memphis Plant (Memphis, TN)
- (2) LSI Packaging Operation (Memphis, TN)
- (3) Carlin Terminal (Carlin, NV)

Names of Facility

Owners: (1) E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company, Inc (Memphis Plant)

- (2) Lemm Services Inc. (LSI Packaging Operation)
- (3) E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company, Inc (Carlin Terminal)

Names of Facility

Operators: (1) E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company, Inc (Memphis Plant)

- (2) Lemm Services Inc. (LSI Packaging Operation)
- (3) Lemm Services Inc. (Carlin Terminal)

Names and Addresses of Responsible Managers:

(2) LSI Packaging Operation	(3) Carlin Terminal
Ed Jodzio, Plant Manager	Marley Robinson, Manager
Lemm Services Inc	Lemm Services Inc.
5200 Old Millington	3 miles east of Carlin on old
Memphis, TN 38127 USA	Highway 40
	Carlin, NV 89822 USA
Tel. 901-353-5056	Tel. 800-798-6333
Fax. 901-353-4855	Fax. 775-754-6053
	Ed Jodzio, Plant Manager Lemm Services Inc 5200 Old Millington Memphis, TN 38127 USA Tel. 901-353-5056

DuPont Sodium Cyanide Operation

Name of Facility

Lead Auditor

April 5, 2006 Date

uditor

Location detail and description of operation:

DuPont produces sodium cyanide for gold mining operations at its DuPont Memphis Plant located near Memphis Tennessee USA in Woodstock, Tennessee. The DuPont sodium cyanide operation is one of several processes on DuPont's Memphis Plant industrial park location. There are approximately 200 DuPont employees at the industrial park with approximately 25% of them involved in the sodium cyanide operation. DuPont has been producing sodium cyanide in Memphis since 1953. DuPont produces in excess of 100 million pounds per year of sodium cyanide at its Memphis Plant to meet its global cyanide demand. The sodium cyanide is produced from the reaction of hydrogen cyanide (which the sodium cyanide operation receives by pipeline) with sodium hydroxide (which the sodium cyanide operation receives by pipeline) under vacuum. The crystallized sodium cyanide is separated from the mother liquor using filtration, dried using heated air, briquetted and prepared for packaging.

The sodium cyanide produced at DuPont's Memphis Plant is packaged at the Memphis Plant, at a local contract packager (Lemm Services – see address above for location) and at DuPont's packaging Terminal in Carlin, Nevada, USA (see address above for location). The Memphis Plant puts sodium cyanide in bulk and semi-bulk packages. The local packager puts sodium cyanide in semi-bulk and small packages, has approximately 25 employees and has been in operation since 1994. The Carlin Terminal puts sodium cyanide in semi-bulk and bulk packages and also dissolves sodium cyanide in alkaline water to make a nominal 30% sodium cyanide solution. Carlin has approximately 10 employees and has been in operation since 1982.

DuPont Sodium Cyanide Operation

Name of Facility

Nind Fink

April 5, 2006

Date

Auditor's Finding

This operation is		
☐ in full compliance☐ in substantial comp☐ not in compliance	•	
with the International Cyan	ide Management Code.	
Action Plan to bring an must be enclosed with the	operations seeking Code certification operation in substantial compliance in Summary Audit Report. The plant year of the date of this audit.	into full compliance
Audit Company:	Management System Solutions, Inc	· ·
	www.mss-team.com	
Audit Team Leader:	Nicole Jurczyk	
	E-mail: CodeAudits@mss-team.com	<u>m</u>
Names and Signatures of	N/A	
Other Auditors:		
Date(s) of Audit:	March 20-28, 2006	
Verification Audit Team Leader and that all members of the International Cyanide Managem I attest that this Summary Audit. I further attest that the accordance with the Internation Production Operations and us environmental audits.	a for knowledge, experience and conflict, established by the International Cyanide audit team meet the applicable criterient Institute for Code Verification Auditor dit Report accurately describes the finding verification audit was conducted in a pal Cyanide Management Code Verification sing standard and accepted practices for the standard accepted practices for t	e Management Instituteria established by the rs. Ings of the verification or offessional manner in Protocol for Cyanide for health, safety and
DuPont Sodium Cyanide Operat	tion Nied July	April 5, 2006
Name of Facility Michigan	Signature of Lead Auditor NICHOLAS I NOTARY PUBLI My Commission E	Date D. VAN HEEST C OF DELAWARE Expires June 1, 2007
	. Node of B	4 1 5 0000
DuPont Sodium Cyanide Operat	Lead Auditor	April 5, 2006 Date
Name of Facility	Leau Augitor	Date

Page 3 of 8

1. OFERATIONS.	release of cya	nide.	e production Jacume	s to prevent
Production Practice 1.	sound, ac	nd construct cyanide pro cepted engineering prac procedures.		
The operation is	🗆 in substa	compliance with antial compliance with ompliance with	Production Practice	1.1
Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: Quality control and quality assurance records were reviewed for all three locations. Process hazard analysis methods and procedures were used to design and build all three facilities. All facilities are built on concrete and they have containment systems that ensure full containment. All facilities use management system procedures and forms to inspect their equipment and containment systems regularly to ensure functionality and integrity of equipment and facilities.				
Production Practice 1.		nd implement plans and p n facilities in a manner tha		-
The operation is	□ in substa	compliance with antial compliance with ompliance with	Production Practice	1.2
Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: All three facilities have detailed procedures, work instructions and checklists that enable them to operate in a safe and environmentally responsible manner. Changes to operations or processes are reviewed by multiple levels of each organization prior to being implemented. Procedures are reviewed regularly to ensure continued adequacy.				
<u>Production Practice 1.</u>		vanide production faciliti ecidental releases.	es to ensure their i	ntegrity and
The operation is	□ in substa	compliance with antial compliance with ompliance with	Production Practice	1.3
Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: All three sites have inspection programs to ensure the integrity of process equipment and prevent accidental releases of cyanide. Preventive maintenance records and operator inspection sheets were reviewed at all sites. Operators at all sites showed a high level of awareness regarding the importance of performing thorough inspections. Records from all sites indicated that corrective actions are taken in a timely manner in response to deficiencies noted during				
<u>DuPont Sodium Cyani</u> Name of Facilit		Nad Tank	ŽAp	ril 5, 2006 Date
raille of racille	y	Leau Augitui		Duit

preventive maintenance and/or daily inspection rounds.

2. WORKER SAFETY:	Protect workers' health and safet	ty from exposure to cyanide.
<u>Production Practice 2.1</u> :	Develop and implement procedure exposure to cyanide.	s to protect plant personnel from
The operation is	☑ in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 2.1
procedures that are used for implemented throughout of Stationary and personal cycalibration of these units in	this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: A for normal and abnormal operating conteach facility and PPE requirements are vanide monitors are used at each site at a part of the overall maintenance programmer and employees indicate that	nditions. The buddy system is e identified for each type of job. and the maintenance and grams. Personal conduct and
<u>Production Practice 2.2</u> :	Develop and implement plans and presponse to cyanide exposure.	procedures for rapid and effective
The operation is	☐ in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 2.2
emergency plans and proc Emergency equipment inclocation had systems in pl	his Finding/Deficiencies Identified: A redures available for use during a cyar cluding antidotes and medicines were ace to inspect the equipment on a regurar to ensure that employees are kept c	nide exposure incident. available at each site and each ular basis. Each location runs
3. MONITORING: En	sure that process controls are protec	tive of the environment.
<u>Production Practice 3.1</u> :	Conduct environmental monitorin unplanned releases of cyanide do no	
The operation is	☐ in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 3.1
Summarize the basis for the by all three sites. The only	nis Finding/Deficiencies Identified: E site with	nvironmental monitoring is done discharge to surface water
DuPont Sodium Cyanide (Name of Facility	Operation View Lead Auditor	April 5, 2006 Date

Page 5 of 8

is the DuPont site. Continuous monitoring of effluent is performed. Surface water is tested annually and cyanide concentrations have been below detection levels. Groundwater is monitored by DuPont and Carlin. Records showed that cyanide concentrations have been either below detection limits or below action levels. Air monitoring is done by all sites and records reviewed during the audit show that cyanide concentrations have been within permitted levels. 4. TRAINING: Train workers and emergency response personnel to manage cyanide in a safe and environmentally protective manner. Production Practice 4.1: Train employees to operate the plant in a manner that minimizes the potential for cyanide exposures and releases. **I** in full compliance with The operation is ☐ in substantial compliance with **Production Practice 4.1** □ not in compliance with Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: Training records were available at all three locations. Although training methods varied slightly, a sample of training records confirmed that employees were appropriately trained prior to working with cyanide. Training effectiveness was judged at each site via testing or skill demonstrations. Production Practice 4.2: Train employees to respond to cyanide exposures and releases. **I** in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with The operation is **Production Practice 4.2** □ not in compliance with □ not subject to Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: Employees at all sites are trained on emergency response procedures, including the response to a cyanide leak or exposure. Refresher training is given periodically. Records were sufficiently detailed to demonstrate conformance. Interviews confirmed that employees understand and are aware of the emergency response procedures. 5. EMERGENCY RESPONSE: Protect communities and the environment through the development of emergency response strategies and capabilities. <u>Production Practice 5.1</u>: Prepare detailed emergency response plans for potential cyanide releases. **☑** in full compliance with DuPont Sodium Cyanide Operation

Name of Facility

Lead Auditor

April 5, 2006

Date Name of Facility

Page 6 of 8

The operation is	\Box in substantial compliance with \Box not in compliance with	Production Practice 5.1
from each site were review emergencies are addressed	nis Finding/Deficiencies Identified: Tweed. They were found to be approprial by the plans and the steps to be take the roles and responsibilities of the responsibilities.	ately detailed. Different types of en for on-site and off-site
<u>Production Practice 5.2</u> :	Involve site personnel and stakeh	nolders in the planning process.
The operation is	☐ in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 5.2
involved in the emergency external stakeholders and accomplished primarily th	nis Finding/Deficiencies Identified: So planning process. DuPont takes resensuring they are involved in the emorough involvement in the Memphis/Somittee (LEPC), the Elko County (NV)	ponsibility for interfacing with ergency planning process. This is Shelby (TN) County Local
<u>Production Practice 5.3</u> :	Designate appropriate personnel and resources for emergency respon	
The operation is	☐ in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 5.3
each site clearly designate numbers. Appropriate em	his Finding/Deficiencies Identified: To roles & responsibilities, call-out propergency response equipment was aveces on-site was observed during the a	cedures, and list current phone ailable at each site. Equipment
DuPont Sodium Cyanide	Operation Vivol 7	April 5, 2006
Name of Facility	Lead Auditor	Date

Page 7 of 8

Name of Facility	Lead Auditor	Date
DuPont Sodium Cyanide	Operation / Lead Auditor	April 5, 2006
Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: Each site reviews the adequacy of its ERP regularly and conducts between two and four drills per year. Drill critiques are conducted with those who were involved. Action items for revisions to the plan are identified, as necessary, and tracked to closure.		
The operation is	☐ in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 5.6
<u>Production Practice 5.6</u> :	Periodically evaluate response pro revise them as needed.	ocedures and capabilities and
Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: DuPont product stewardship personnel and environmental personnel are involved in developing comprehensive environmental plans in the event of a spill. The only location with access to surface water is the DuPont site. Monitoring requirements are detailed in site procedures and the Environmental Monitoring Annual Report. In the event of a spill, professional remediation services would also be engaged.		
The operation is	☐ in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 5.5
<u>Production Practice 5.5</u> :		ans and remediation measures for the additional hazards of using
Summarize the basis for this Finding/Deficiencies Identified: Each site's ERP had a detailed list of internal and external stakeholders that need to be notified depending on the nature of the emergency. Procedures are in place to ensure that timely communications and notifications are made in the event of an emergency.		
The operation is	☐ in full compliance with ☐ in substantial compliance with ☐ not in compliance with	Production Practice 5.4
<u>Production Practice 5.4</u> :	Develop procedures for internal a and reporting.	ind external emergency notification

Page 8 of 8